

STUMP GRUBBER, ITS USE, METHOD FOR GRUBBING STUMPS AND METHOD FOR PREVENTING FUNGUS DISEASE SUCH AS ROOT ROT

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to a grubber, its use in preventing root rot, a method for grubbing stumps and a method for preventing fungus disease such as root rot, in accordance with the preambles to the independent claims presented below. The invention relates in particular to a novel way of extracting stumps from the ground, for example, with an attachment fitted to a log forwarder.

10

PRIOR ART

Pulling tree stumps quickly out of the ground is difficult, for example due to the highly variable quality of the stumps. Among other factors, the size and hardness of the stumps vary greatly, as does the force with which the roots cling to the soil.

15 There are several reasons for removing stumps from a forest. If a logged forest is reforested or if the land is used for housing construction, for example, it would be preferable to remove the stumps. Stump removal using the methods currently in use is complicated, slow and therefore expensive. Due to their root systems, stumps are generally extremely tightly rooted in the soil. Mechanised methods
20 currently in use are mainly based on extracting the stump with the lifting means of a machine that grips the stump. Grubbing stumps therefore requires heavy machinery that has high lifting power and often limited mobility in the forest. The movements of large machinery, such as excavators, cause damage for example to trees left standing and their root systems. Due to the poor mobility and slowness of
25 the equipment currently applicable for stump grubbing, the removal of stumps from harvested forests is generally unprofitable. According to some estimations, the amount of stumps annually left unutilised, for example in Finland, currently corresponds to approx. 10–15 million cubic metres of wood that could be combusted, for example, to produce thermal or electric energy, or otherwise be
30 utilised.

If a tree stump, in addition to the stump part proper, is defined as including a root part comprising roots at least 5 cm thick growing from the stump part, the root part

typically comprises approximately 50% of the dry matter of a pine stump and 70% of the dry matter of a spruce stump. Therefore, if stumps are to be used efficiently for the production of energy through combustion, it would be beneficial also to extract the usable root part in addition to the stump part proper. The prior art does
5 not know any efficient and environmentally friendly solution for lifting a tree stump and the usable root part around it.

A stump typically contains a lot of water. If stumps are used as a source of energy through combustion, they are generally allowed to dry before use. It is a common
10 practice to split the stump into smaller pieces to promote drying. Dried stumps are typically further cut up to reduce their volume for transport. The prior art currently in use requires, in addition to massive grubbing equipment, separate equipment for splitting or crushing stumps.

15 Known solutions for the extraction of stumps from the ground are presented, for example, in the patent publications US 262018, US 270351, US 3739823, US 3814152, US 4481989, SU 397172, SU 481266, SU 722517, SU 1166732, SU 1246943, FI 62614 and FI 761888. No known publication has presented a solution that would make it possible to extract stumps and the surrounding roots efficiently
20 by means of an apparatus with relatively low lifting power, such as a modern forest tractor, designed to be highly agile in forest.

Various fungus diseases spread in forest via stumps and their roots. In Finnish forests, the worst cause of decay losses is the root rot fungus. It causes, for
25 example, root rot in the spruce and therefore significant financial losses: proceeds from timber sales are reduced, the quality of the products made from the timber deteriorates and the cost of timber processing increases. The root rot also causes decay in healthy spruces and pines. It jeopardizes the next generation of trees, since it may survive for decades, for example in decaying stumps and roots. The
30 root rot spreads to a tree stand between May and November by means of spores via, for example, freshly cut surfaces of stumps and damage to trees. The decay fungus is transmitted via the roots to healthy trees and in this way the damage spreads. From old stumps and their roots, root rot may also spread to seedlings

and thus contaminate the next generation of trees. In southern Finland, as many as one in six trees mature for harvesting is rotten.

5 The spread of root rot to healthy forests is traditionally controlled, among others, by carrying out logging when the ground is snow-covered, when the fungus is at rest and forest machinery causes less damage to roots. Typically, when carrying out logging in summer time, the cut surfaces of stumps are biologically treated to prevent infection by the root rot fungus. The biological treatment of stumps involves infecting the stumps with another decay-causing fungus commonly
10 occurring in forests, which causes only the stump to rot, but does not spread to cause decay in healthy trees. The biological treatment is time-consuming. It requires expensive equipment and chemical agents. The biological treatment is successful only in approximately 50% of all cases.

15 Present methods of grubbing stumps often leave a large amount of roots visible rendering them vulnerable to root rot infection. When a seedling is planted in such soil that is full of roots, there is a high risk of infection for the seedling from the roots.

20 PURPOSE AND DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The purpose of the invention presented here is to alleviate or even eliminate the above-mentioned problems arising in the prior art.

25 The purpose of the invention presented here is particularly to create a stump grubber and a method that provides a more efficient and environmentally friendly way of extracting the stumps of cut trees from the ground as compared with the prior equipment and methods. Furthermore, a particular purpose is to create a novel way of preventing root rot or other fungus diseases threatening seedlings.

30 To accomplish the above mentioned purposes, among others, a grubber, its use in preventing root rot, a method for grubbing stumps and a method for preventing fungus disease, such as root rot, according to the invention are characterised by

what is presented in the characterising parts of the independent claims presented below.

5 Embodiments and advantages mentioned in this text refer, in so far as they are applicable, to both a grubber, its use in preventing root rot, a method for grubbing stumps and a method for preventing fungus disease, such as root rot, according to the invention, even though this may not always be explicitly stated.

10 A typical grubber according to the invention comprises a frame that incorporates at least coupling means for coupling the grubber to a work machine, and gripping means supported on the frame for gripping a tree stump, and a blade arranged to cut downwards to sever roots around the stump. Typical gripping means according to the invention for gripping a stump comprise four or more spikes or blades arranged to penetrate into the stump. The coupling means may, for example, be
15 similar to coupling means used in ordinary harvester heads, comprising, for example, conventional connections for hydraulic and electric systems. In such a case, a grubber according to the invention may be used as an attachment to an ordinary forest tractor or the like. The sharpness of the blade arranged to cut downwards may vary according to the needs at hand. In some types of terrain and
20 for some stumps, the blade must be fairly sharp, but in some circumstances even a fairly dull blade serves the purpose of the invention well. An essential characteristic of the invention is the force directed mainly downwards by the blade, cutting soil and roots; the amount of force required depends on the situation. A typical grubber according to the invention furthermore comprises power means
25 that are supported on the frame for moving the gripping means and cutting blade relative to each other in an at least substantially vertical direction. Thus, in the step of the method according to the invention where a stump is extracted from the ground, the cutting blade is arranged to be moved downwards relative to the gripping means and the gripping means are arranged to be moved upwards
30 relative to the cutting blade. Preferably, either the cutting blade or the gripping means are arranged to be at least mainly immovable vertically relative to the frame. Thus, the cutting blade may, for example, be solidly attached by welding to the frame, and the gripping means is moved vertically relative to the frame and to

the cutting blade by the power means. The power means typically comprise one or more hydraulic cylinders that receive their motive power, for example, from the work machine to which a grubber according to the invention is coupled. It is also possible to arrange the gripping means solidly to the frame, in which case the cutting blade is moved vertically relative to the frame and to the gripping means by the power means. It is also possible that the cutting blade and the gripping means are movable relative to the frame and to each other.

In a typical method for grubbing stumps according to the invention, a stump grubber coupled to the lifting means of a work machine is used, on the frame of which stump grubber gripping means and a cutting blade are supported. The method comprises at least the following steps:

- the stump grubber is positioned above a stump with the help of the work machine's lifting means,
- the stump is firmly gripped by the gripping means at no less than four points in the stump,
- the blade is positioned adjacent to the stump in an at least substantially vertical position,
- the cutting blade and the gripping means are moved relative to each other so that the cutting blade moves downwards relative to the gripping means and the gripping means moves upwards relative to the cutting blade, so that the stump is lifted upwards and roots growing from the stump are severed.

The greatest advantage brought by the invention is that, by using it, a stump can rapidly be lifted up from the ground independently, using only the hydraulic pressure supplied from a forest tractor or the like, without further outside power supply. By means of the invention, a stump may even be cut up at the same time to promote drying and transport. A stump extracted this way from the ground will be light in weight, and thus the lifting power of even a light forest tractor's boom is sufficient for lifting the stump to allow it to dry or to load it onto a transport truck. By means of the invention, a portion of the roots growing from the stump may also be extracted together with the stump.

Solutions according to the prior art propose various solutions for gripping a stump. Unexpectedly, however, it has recently been found that effective extraction of stumps requires gripping the stump at several points simultaneously. Many stumps are so weak that they disintegrate if lifted for example with one or two spikes only.

5 Sometimes stumps are so hard that one or two spikes have to be thrust with great force into the stump in order to generate sufficient hold on the stump. In such a case, there is a risk that the stump splits even before it is extracted. Furthermore, with an insufficient number of spikes, it is usually impossible to split the stump into several pieces after extraction. Solutions according to the invention may have a
10 required number of spikes or blades according to the invention, arranged to penetrate into the stump, for example 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 or 20. The number of spikes or blades may also be 4–10, 4–20, 6–10, 6–20, 8–16, 8–20, 10–20, 10–30 or 20–50.

15 In an embodiment of the invention, the cutting blade comprises a blade of at least essentially cylindrical form. The diameter of such a circular blade may be, for example, 1000–4000 mm, 1000–3000 mm, 1000–2000 mm, 1500–3500 mm, 1500–2500 mm, 1500–2000 mm. The diameter may be chosen so as to suit the application at hand. By selecting the size of the diameter of the blade, it is also
20 possible to select the maximum size of stump that can be lifted with that blade. Furthermore, the diameter of the blade has an influence on how large part of the roots growing from the stump is extracted with the stump. A cylindrical blade according to the invention is typically large enough to allow the gripping means to operate inside the blade.

25

When extracting stumps according to the invention, roots coming up with the stump can be bent downwards. Thus, the stump can be lifted to stand on the bent roots so as to allow it to dry. Between the roots, under the stump, there remains empty air space, which further promotes drying. The roots can be bent particularly
30 well by using a cylinder-formed cutting blade. Bending can furthermore be promoted by arranging a protrusion on the inside of the blade, extending a distance from the blade, for example a flange welded onto the blade. Such a flange will bend roots extracted with a stump that is extracted with the apparatus

according to the invention when the stump rises inside the blade. The flange may extend, for example, for 40–100 mm or 60–80 mm inwards from the inner surface of the blade.

- 5 A stump grubber according to the invention may be used according to the invention to mechanically prevent the spread of root rot. In a method according to the invention, before a seedling is planted, in order to prevent fungus disease such as root rot, a stump and, for a distance around the stump, roots surrounding the stump are extracted from the ground. This is carried out so that an incision is
10 made at least mainly around the stump and directed vertically downwards into the ground to a certain depth, and simultaneously, the stump is lifted at least mainly vertically upwards. Thanks to the incision surrounding the stump and directed vertically downwards, the roots of the extracted stump remaining in the ground are bent downwards and do not remain projecting randomly into the air. Thus, they are
15 less prone to spread root rot and similar fungus diseases.

To prevent root rot and similar fungi, the soil must be removed from around a seedling to be planted preferably for a distance of approximately one metre from the planting site of the seedling. Thus, the seedling may grow and gain strength for
20 a few years before its roots possibly make contact with old roots remaining in the ground. Roots remaining in the ground generally die and decay in a few years. In this case the root rot, for example, also dies. Naturally, the larger the area cleared of roots, the smaller is the risk of infection. A cleared area of one or two square metres is typically sufficient to efficiently protect spruce seedlings and the like.

25

A method according to the invention for preventing fungus disease such as root rot prior to the planting of a seedling can be used as a part of a method for reforestation. In such a case, one or more seedlings are planted in place of a stump extracted according to a method according to the invention.

30

When extracting a stump from the ground, the greatest force for lifting the stump is usually required at the beginning of the work operation. A stump grubber in which the gripping means are arranged to be vertically immovable relative to the frame of

the apparatus and the cutting blade is arranged to be movable, is easy to arrange in such a way that a hydraulic cylinder or cylinders moving the cutting blade and/or gripping means are shortest at the start of the lifting motion. It is known that the force generated by a hydraulic cylinder is typically while in its shortest position, i.e. when the piston rod is retracted.

In an embodiment of the invention, the gripping means comprises two gripping means, disposed on opposite sides of the apparatus and arranged to pivot around mainly parallel pivot axis. If both of these gripping means comprise three or more blades or spikes, six or more gripping points are obtained simply. If the said blades or spikes are arranged to be mainly immovable relative to one another, it is simple to control them. Naturally, each of the blades or spikes may also be independently movable by actuating means of their own.

In an embodiment of the invention, the blades or spikes of one gripping means are arranged along a distance in the direction of their pivoting axis, i.e. along a gripping distance, which is no less than 200 mm, preferably no less than 400 mm and even more preferably no less than 600 mm or no less than 800 mm. Examples of possible ranges of variation for the gripping distance of a single gripping means, i.e. the longest distance between spikes are 200–2000 mm, 200–1000 mm, 200–800 mm, 200–400 mm, 400–1000 mm, 400–800 mm, 600–1500 mm and 600–1000 mm. Furthermore, the spikes or blades or the points of these on one gripping means are preferably arranged in a substantially straight line. Thus the gripping points of a gripping distance can be arranged along a fairly long and straight distance, so that the stump to be extracted does not have to be positioned at a specific point between the gripping means. This speeds up the operation of the apparatus considerably.

The distance for which the blades or spikes according to the invention penetrate into the stump varies according to the situation. Now, however, it has unexpectedly been found that generally, if the spikes or blades penetrate into the stump for 10–500 mm or 50–300 mm when lifting is started, an average stump will be lifted from the ground in accordance with the invention. Typical spikes or blades

according to the invention are, for example, 20–50 mm, 20–30 mm or 30–50 mm in width. The size of the blades or spikes may be selected to suit the situation at hand. Now, however, it has unexpectedly been found that it is often important, in order to successfully extract stumps, that the total gripping area of the spikes or blades penetrating the stump at the start of the extraction operation, i.e. the upwards-facing area in contact with the stump, is of adequate size. A common gripping area of appropriate size may, for example, be 2000–50000 mm², 6000–25000 mm² or 10000–200000 mm². Sometimes it is also important that the relation of the gripping area to the diameter of the stump is appropriate. The gripping areas mentioned above by way of examples, are usually adequate for stumps of 100–800 mm in diameter. The distance between the blades or spikes may also be of significance for the success of extraction. The typical distance between adjacent blades or spikes may, for example, be 3, 5, 7 or 10 times the width of a spike. All measures given here serve merely as examples.

15

In addition to those mentioned above, also other embodiments of the invention and their advantages are presented in the appended figures and non-independent claims.

20 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

In the following, the invention is described in more detail by referring to the accompanying schematic drawing, in which

Figure 1 represents a first stump grubber according to the invention viewed from the side and partly transparent when being lowered onto a stump to be extracted,

25

Figure 2 represents the stump grubber of Fig. 1 when it has gripped the stump,

Figure 3 represents the stump grubber of Fig. 1 when the stump has been severed from its root system,

Figure 4 represents the stump grubber of Fig. 1 when it and the stump are being lifted from the ground,

30

Figure 5 represents another stump grubber according to the invention viewed from above and partly transparent,

Figure 6 represents the stump grubber of Fig. 5 viewed from the side,

Figure 7 represents a gripping means according to the invention viewed from the side,

Figure 8 represents the gripping means of Fig. 7 viewed from the front,

Figure 9 represents the gripping means of Fig. 7 viewed from above,

5 Figure 10 represents a joint according to the invention viewed from the side and in part as a sectional view taken along line A-A of Fig. 11,

Figure 11 represents the joint of Fig. 10 viewed from above and partly as a sectional view,

10 Figure 12 represents the stump grubber of Fig. 5 when being lowered onto a stump,

Figure 13 represents the stump grubber of Fig. 5 when it has gripped the stump, and

Figure 14 represents the stump grubber of Fig. 5 when the stump has been severed from its root system and the stump is being lifted from the
15 ground.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

A stump grubber 10 according to the invention shown by way of example in Figures 1-4 comprises a frame 14 coupled with coupling means 13 to the boom
20 12 of a work machine, such as a forest tractor, and a cylindrical blade 18 immovably attached thereto by means of support arms 16. The blade 18 is made of a strong material, such as stainless or hardened steel, and it is sharpened at its lower edge 20. The sharpness of the blade 18 may vary, and an apparatus according to the invention may function, even with a totally dull-edged cylinder 18,
25 depending on the terrain and type of the stump to be extracted. A horizontally positioned flange 22 is welded onto the outer wall surface of the cylinder 18. A vertical main hydraulic cylinder 24 is attached to the centre of the frame. It is stably and immovably attached the top portion of the frame 14 by its piston rod, i.e. by its first end 25. Gripping means, i.e. four hooks, supported by hinges 27 and by
30 hydraulic cylinders 28, 30, are attached on the other end 26 of the main hydraulic cylinder, three of which hooks, 32, 34, 36, can be seen in the Figures. The hooks 32 and 34 disposed opposite each other are structurally similar. They are arranged so as to be pivoted by cylinders 28 around hinges 27, within the limits of travel

specified for them. The hook 36 is similar to the hook opposite to it, which is not visible in the Figure. There are altogether no less than two hooks. No details obvious to a person skilled in the art and irrelevant to the basic idea of the invention are presented in the Figures, such as hydraulic fluid connections from the boom 12 of the work machine to the hydraulic cylinders. There may be more than one main cylinder; for example, there may be four cylinders on different sides of the midpoint of the apparatus. The main cylinder or main cylinders may also be arranged on the apparatus in the opposite direction to that shown in the Figures, i.e. with the piston rod downwards. In such a case, the other structures of the apparatus are changed accordingly.

The apparatus shown in the Figures functions as follows. The stump grubber 10 is lowered by means of a lifting boom 12 onto a stump 38 to be extracted with the hooks in the so-called open position shown in Fig. 1. The piston rod 25 of the main cylinder 24 is at least partially inside the cylinder. At the lower end of the main cylinder, there is a guide cone 40, which guides the apparatus to the middle of the stump 38, into an ideal position for lifting. The guide cone 40 may also, at least partially, be formed from the attachment structures 42 of the hooks 32, 34, 36. The apparatus may also be implemented without a special guide cone or the like. The cylindrical blade positioned around the hooks and the main cylinder are simultaneously lowered around the stump 38, against the ground 44, onto the roots 46 growing from the stump. The contact of the guide cone 40 with the stump 38 and the contact of the cylindrical blade 18 with the ground 44 may, if so wished, be controlled to take place simultaneously by regulating the length of the main cylinder 24. After this, the hooks 32–36 are pressed against the stump 38 by means of the cylinders 28 and 30, to the so-called closed position shown in Fig. 2. The hooks are pressed underneath the butt portion of the stump or into the side of the stump to an appropriate depth so that extraction may be started. For this purpose, approximately 50% of the hooks' maximum travel distance, for example, is used, depending on the type of the stump and its roots. Lifting the stump 38 from the ground is now carried out by retracting the main cylinder 24. The hooks 32–36 then start to lift the stump 38 upwards within the limits of the maximum stroke of the main cylinder 24. Simultaneously, a counter force exerted on the

frame 14 by the main cylinder 24 pushes the lower edge 20 of the cylindrical blade towards the ground and the roots 46 therein, which results in the roots being severed. The flange 22 prevents the cylindrical blade 18 from being pushed too far into the ground. The stump 38 is extracted from the ground entirely without the
5 lifting power of the work machine's boom 12. The stump 38 extracted from the ground is shown in Fig. 3. After this, the stump may be lifted to the desired location by means of the boom 12 of the work machine. The hooks 32–36 supporting the stump may now be pressed into an entirely closed position, as shown in Fig. 4. The hooks 32–36 have cutting and slitting inner surfaces 48, which split the stump
10 38 into pieces. This allows the stump to dry quicker. This is preferable, for example, if the stumps are to be combusted. The stump is removed from the apparatus by opening the hooks and thrusting the piston rod of the main cylinder 24 out of the cylinder. Only some of the hooks in the apparatus may be arranged to cut or split the stump, or they may all be arranged in this way. The stump may
15 also be split by hooks that do not have specifically sharpened inner surfaces. The apparatus may also have separate means for splitting stumps.

Figures 5–14 represent another stump grubber 50 according to the invention by way of an example. The main difference compared with the stump grubber 10 in
20 Figures 1–4 is that now the cutting blade is arranged to be movable relative to the frame and the gripping means are arranged to be immovable relative to the frame. The stump grubber 50 comprises a frame 54 coupled by coupling means 53 to the boom 52 of a work machine, such as a forest tractor. A cylindrical blade 58 is attached to the frame by means of hydraulic cylinders 56, to be movable in a
25 mainly vertical direction relative to the frame. The diameter of the blade 58 is approximately 2000 mm. A blade of this size is highly applicable for extracting stumps and roots of the tree species common in Finland. Hydraulic cylinders 56 are attached to the blade by a joint 57, which is represented in greater detail in Figures 10 and 11. The blade 58 is made of a strong material, such as stainless or
30 hardened steel, and it is sharpened at its lower edge 60. A horizontally positioned flange 62 is welded onto the cylindrical blade 58, the flange projecting both inside and outside the blade 58. A vertical hydraulic cylinder 64 is attached to the middle of the frame and the cylindrical blade. The cylinder is arranged to move a splitting

blade 66. The splitting blade 66 may be shaped as appropriate for each situation. The Figures show a blade that grows in diameter exponentially from the sharp end of the blade. A shape like this has yielded good results when used with the apparatus.

5

Two gripping means 70, supported by hinges 67 and hydraulic cylinders 68, are attached to the frame 54. The gripping means 70 are arranged to pivot around their hinges 67 within the limits of travel specified for them. The gripping means 70 is attached by an ear 69 to the end 71 of the piston rod of the hydraulic cylinder 68 in such a way as to pivot. Both gripping means 70 comprise four hooks 72–75. The hooks 72–75 are arranged to be immovable relative to each other. The gripping means 70 disposed opposite to each other are structurally similar. One gripping means generally includes no less than three hooks. The gripping means 70 has a gripping width L of 630 mm. The hooks have a width M of 30 mm. The distance N between the central hooks is 210 mm, and the distance O between the side hooks and central hooks is 150 mm. The measures may naturally be changed in accordance with the situation at hand. It has been found, however, that a gripping means 70 with the dimensions given here is very efficient and functional for the extraction of both pine and spruce stumps in Finnish forests.

20

The Figures 10 and 11 represent a joint 57 between the end of the piston rod 76 of the hydraulic cylinder 56 and a flange 62 welded onto the cylindrical blade 58, allowing the piston rod 76 to move relative to the flange 62. To the end of the piston rod 76 is welded a circular disc 78, the diameter P of which is by a distance greater than the diameter R of the piston rod. The disc 78 is not attached to the flange 62 in any particular way, but rather these two are able move relative to each other. A ring 80 is attached to the flange 62, around the disc 78. The inner diameter Q of the ring 80 is by a distance greater than the diameter of the disc 78. A ring-shaped cover 82 is attached on top of the ring 80, in middle of which cover 82 an opening 84 has been made through which the piston rod 76 is arranged to run. The diameter S of the opening 84 is greater than R, but smaller than P. In other words, in the examples shown in the Figures, $Q > P > S > R$. Thus, a space 86 delimited by the steel flange 62, ring 80 and cover 82 is formed in the joint 57,

30

within which space the end 76 of the piston rod of the hydraulic cylinder 56 and the disc 78 attached to it are able to move. The joint 57 adapts to any strain exerted on the joints between the flange 62 and hydraulic cylinders 56 when the stump grubber 50 is operated. In the space 86 delimited by the flange 62, the ring 80 and the cover 82, a retraction member may be positioned that automatically seeks to retract the disc 78 and thus also the piston rod 76 that have moved in the said space 86 back to their rest position. This retraction member may, for example, be a rubber ring positioned between the disc 78 and the ring 80. The said space 86 may also be entirely filled with a resilient substance, such as an appropriate rubber mixture. It is also possible to make the said space 86 entirely sealed, and to fill it with hydraulic oil, for example, or with some other suitable substance. The joint type 57 represented in Figures 10 and 11 is also suitable for attaching hydraulic cylinders in other situations than those described in this application. Thanks to the joint type 57, a joint between a hydraulic cylinder and some other component withstands bending forces exerted on it better than an immovable joint. Examples of applications suitable for this type of joint are the joints of hydraulic cylinders of actuators in the harvester heads of forest machinery.

Figures 12–14 represent function of the apparatus 50. The stump grubber 50 is lowered by means of a lifting boom 52 onto a stump 38 to be extracted with the gripping means 70 in the so-called open position shown in Fig. 12. In the open position, the hydraulic cylinders 68 moving the gripping means 70 are in their shortest position. The piston rods 76 of the cylinders 56 that move the blade 58 are also retracted in their cylinders. The operator of the apparatus steers the stump grubber 50 with the boom 52 to a suitable position in relation to the stump 38. The stump 38 does not have to be in middle of the apparatus 50 or its cylindrical blade 58; it is sufficient that the stump is positioned between the gripping means 70. The stump grubber and its cylindrical blade 58 are now lowered around the stump 38 against the ground 44, onto the roots 46 growing from the stump. After this, the hooks of the gripping means 70 are pressed by means of the cylinders 68 against the stump 38 and into and/or under the stump, to the so-called closed position shown in Fig. 13. The hooks are pressed underneath the butt portion of the stump or into the side of the stump to an

appropriate depth so that extraction may be started. For this, for example, approximately 50% of the maximum travel distance of gripping means 70 is used, depending on the type of the stump and its roots. Lifting the stump 38 up from the ground is now carried out by extending the cylinders 56. This causes the lower
5 edge 60 of cylindrical blade to be pressed towards the ground and the roots 46 therein, which results in the roots being severed off. Simultaneously, a counter force exerted on the frame 54 by the cylinders 56 begins to lift the gripping means 70 and the stump 38 upwards within the limits set by the stroke of the cylinders 56. The flange 62 prevents the cylindrical blade 58 from being pressed too far into the
10 ground 44. The stump 38 is extracted from the ground entirely without the lifting power of the boom 52 of the work machine. The stump 38 extracted from the ground is shown in Fig. 14. After this, the stump may be lifted to a desired location by means of the boom 12 of the work machine. Now, the hydraulic cylinder 64 can be extended in the manner shown in Fig. 14 so that a splitting blade 66 attached to
15 it is pressed into the stump 38 from above and splits the stump. This allows the stump to dry quicker. The stump is removed from the apparatus by opening the gripping means 70 and retracting the piston rods of the cylinders 56.

The hooks 32–36 and 72–75 may also be fixed into the stump 38 so that they are
20 positioned at least mainly under the stump. In this way, it is possible to lift efficiently for example stumps that easily break up completely if hooks are pressed into the stump from the side.

A vibratory attachment or vibra may also be coupled to the apparatus, the purpose
25 of which attachment is to shake off any soil and stone clinging to the roots. Some of the stone material may also be removed by means of coarse serration arranged on the blades, which shakes the stump when the blades are moved. Thanks to the cutting and slitting blades on the inside surfaces of the hooks, the force required to split the stump is lower. Furthermore, cone-shaped wedges may be attached to
30 the inside surfaces, which together with the blades ensure that the stump is properly cut up. If hooks disposed opposite to each other are positioned asymmetrically underneath the stump, they will, through the characteristics

mentioned above, even better loosen any soil and rock clinging to the stump and break up the root system under the stump.

The flange 22, 62 is typically positioned at a distance of 5–30 cm, preferably 10–15 cm on a horizontal plane from the lower edge 20, 60 of the blade cylinder. The width of the flange is typically 10–30 cm, preferably approximately 20 cm. It prevents the blade from being pressed under the broken roots, which increases the lifting power of the apparatus and at the same time prevents the root system remaining outside the blade from being lifted up from the ground, which in turn promotes decomposition of the part of the root system remaining in the ground and elimination of any root rot. The location of the cylindrical blade 58 under the horizontal flange 62 is marked by a broken line in Fig. 5. The outside edge 82 and the inside edge 84 of the flange 62 are at approximately the same distance from the blade 58. When the stump 38 is lifted with the apparatus 50, the flange portion 84 on the inside of the blade functions as described above and prevents the apparatus from being pressed too deep into the ground. Furthermore, the inside part of the flange 62 prevents the severed roots 47 from rising up directly in the direction of lift. The flange edge 84 forces the roots 47 to turn to some degree towards the lower part of the butt of the stump 38 that is to be extracted. In this way, the roots 47 are extracted along with the stump and function in a way as legs for the butt part of the stump. When the stump 38 is released from the apparatus 50, the stump generally remains standing on the roots 47. Empty spaces for air remain between the bent roots, which promotes drying of the stump 38. Furthermore, the ends of the roots 47 will strike against the inside edge 84 of the flange as they are severed, whereby soil clinging to the roots 47 is loosened from them.

Between the frame 14, 54 of the apparatus and the boom 12, 52 of the work machine a rotator according to the prior art may be coupled, which rotator allows the apparatus 10, 50 to be rotated on the spot. With a rotator, it is easier to position the apparatus as required on the stump. Furthermore, soil and stone clinging to the stump may be loosened by rotating the apparatus and the stump

with the rotator after the extraction of the stump. This rotation movement may also be used to level the pit remaining in the place of the extracted stump.

5 The trajectory of the hooks 32–36 and gripping means 70 may be limited by technical means in such a way that they cannot be pressed so as to touch the blade parts of the hooks placed crosswise in relation to each other, but rather stop at the level of the outside surface of the hooks. In this way, the tip parts of all the hooks may asymmetrically grip the root part of the stump to be extracted at different heights. The benefit of this is that the stump is more likely to remain intact
10 at the beginning of the extraction.

The stump 38 may be supported by its upper end during the extraction. Supporting the upper end makes it more likely that the stump will remain intact during the extraction. The stump may be supported, for example, by inclined fixing flanges
15 under the support pipe/frame of the hooks 32–36 and by the cone 40 between the flanges, against which cone the upper end of the stump 38 may be pressed when the hooks 32–36 are pressed into the stump. In this way, it can be ensured that the root part of the stump breaks before the upper portion of the stump does. The upper portion of the stump may then be split only at the final stage of extraction,
20 when the hooks are pressed into the fully closed position. It is possible to arrange blades on the lower surface of the cone 40 or on the frame 14, 54 of the apparatus, against which the stump 38 is pressed when extracted. The blades may, for example, be arranged in a cross shape so that the upper part of the stump 38 is split into four pieces.

25 It is possible to implement the individual grip of the hooks 32–36 and 71–75 on the stump 38 to be extracted by connecting the hydraulic cylinders 28, 30 and 68 moving the hooks 32–36 and the gripping means 70 in series. This enables, for example, the following benefits to be achieved:

- the hooks may be controlled by one double-action control valve,
- 30 - each hook will grip the stump with the same pressing power regardless of where in the stump the hooks are positioned during the extraction phase. At the same time, the apparatus is automatically guided to the best lifting position.

Typical benefits, dimensions and other data of the invention and its embodiments:

- It is possible to make the apparatus light in weight, with an operating weight of less than 1000 kg.
- The reach of the apparatus may easily be 10–15 m, depending on the reach of the boom of the machine moving the apparatus.
- It is possible to use the same driving tracks in the terrain as other forest machinery.
- The apparatus can be coupled to all harvesters in place of a felling head. Likewise, it can be coupled to a tractor equipped with a loader, in place of a bucket.
- The structure of the apparatus is simple and the price competitive.
- Already at the extraction phase the apparatus chops and cleans up the stumps for drying and end-use, such as combustion.
- The apparatus is very suitable for mechanical prevention of root rot, particularly in spruce-dominated logging areas.
- When mechanical prevention of root rot is compared with the chemical or biological methods, there are considerable benefits favouring the mechanical method. The mechanical method makes it possible to obtain a substantial portion of the stump without any of the costs associated with chemical prevention. The energy content of the stump usually remains totally unutilised when using the chemical method.

The Figures show only two particularly advantageous examples of embodiments in accordance with the invention. For a person skilled in the art it is obvious that the invention is not restricted to the embodiments presented above, but the invention may be modified within the scope of protection of the independent claims presented below. For example, the gripping means 70 of the second example may be used in place of the hooks in the apparatus 10 of the first example. Some possible embodiments of the invention are presented in the dependent claims, and they should not as such be regarded as restricting the scope of protection of this invention.